א ביסל תורה!

The Maimonides School Parsha Newsletter

2/22/2022 מ"ח כסלו תשפ"ג

מקץ

abisItorah.wordpress.com

Uniqueness in Life, Uniqueness in Existence

By Hayim Sims

This week our reading consists of פרשת מקץ, which, at a base level, discusses יוסף due to his prophetic and administrative talents. This then ultimately leads to him reuniting with his brothers, which sparks the changes to follow further in the following chapters and books of תורה. The beginning of these events center on יחוד on 'סייי 's rise, which - as we had seen in the previous יפרשה had, at this moment, consisted of him dwelling in the royal prison, albeit in higher prominence due to the ways in which he had been imparted on through his great ability and figure. יוסף experiences an atmospheric rise from being in jail to being "פרעה on 'or experiences an atmospheric rise from being in jail to being "פרעה he chief cupbearer of "סייים", addresses the ruler at a time in which he was not able to find a true interpretation of his troubling dreams. He said that he had recalled a certain figure in prison who had correctly offered interpretations to the dreams of both him and the שר האופים would soon be executed, just as he had predicted the had recalled the chief baker. This man had predicted that the "פרעה had "צישר המשקים" that, "שבי העבר עברי עבד לשַר הטבחים" that, "בראשית מ"א:י"ב had "בראשית מ"א:י"ב says in "בנספר לו ויפתר "לנו את־חלמתינו איש כחלמו פתר says that the dreams had each been interpreted correctly by the Hebrew slave. A seemingly innocent statement, but one which intrigues Pharaoh as to the talents of this young prisoner that he is called to the court immediately. Why would such a thing occur? How could Joseph's interpretations be so incredibly impactful in such a sense?

Several opinions exist as to the reasoning behind why יוסף 's interpretation of the שר המשקים and שר האופים's dreams could be considered so important, especially to the Pharaoh of Egypt! רש"ז states regarding the words of the chief cupbearer describing Joseph as a "נער עברי עבד", that "ווער בלשון בזיון.", that באיון שלימה, מזכירו בלשון בזיון שלימה, מזכירו בלשון בזיון." interpolates that the comments which the שר המשקים seems to direct towards יוסף were done so in a derogatory manner, to insult and belittle the youth who had sought to assist him years earlier. רש"י later on spells out how the cupbearer had called יוסף both youthful and foolish, not experienced in the ways and language of the Egyptians, and unable to achieve any sort of high acclaim or finery due to his status as a slave. In doing so, "עם establishes the character of the שר המשקים and how he seems to interpret the way in which his future had been foretold through the dream. Despite such an immense amount of accomplishment, the שר המשקים does not seem to take the dream as any sort of unique or special circumstance, and he thinks it's as if it was done by someone who should not be focused on or discussed. רש"י abilities and uniqueness are almost completely ignored. רש"י gives an additional insight into the words of the שר המשקים in his descriptions, which creates a greater disparity between such and the previous comments. Regarding the שר המשקים stating that יוסף had interpreted the dreams as "איש כחלומו", the way in which יוסף interpreted the dreams correctly, רש"י. "לפי החלום, וקרוב לעיניינו" gives the explanation of the words to mean רש"י. "לפי החלום, וקרוב לעיניינו" the explanation of the phrase to mean that the dreams had been interpreted in accordance with the dream and consistent with its contents. This "בש" shows how strong יוסף ability as a dream interpreter was, as the words that he said would always come true.

רמב"ן provides a different explanation as to why יוסף dreams were held in such high regard. He states, regarding the same words of "איש כחלומו", that "לאשר היה אמתת חלומו העתיד לבא לו, כי כאשר פתר כן היה לנו" that "לי כאשר היה אמתת חלומו העתיד לבא לו, כי כאשר פתר כן היה לנו" would interpret dreams, he would interpret them as they were destined to happen to whoever dreamed them. רמב"ן compares said interpretation to a blessing יעקב gives his sons in a later פסוק, as it says in בראשית זעקב towards his sons, just as the foretelling which had so

produced a later effect, computes with that of being each according to that which would befall them within future circumstances. However, מב"ז still brings about his own interpretation of the viewing later in his commentaries. Placing his interpretation of the events under that of "שר's, ו"מב"ז states instead that this way of phrasing was done so that the erup would not consider that the interpretations were different from what had occurred in truth. מב"ז further states that the במשקים used specific language in order to state that יום had not simply interpreted a shared dream that the שר המשקים and the שר האופים had experienced with a single interpretation, but rather he had given both their interpretations according to their dreams, as רמב"ז states at the end of his commentary, "עשר פירש ואמר לו אלא ברכה מיוחדת לכל אחד, "כאשר פירש ואמר לו saying that the interpretation had been given in its uniqueness, each connecting its way through the completion. No single interpretation had been uttered for a single experience, instead, each received its own interpretation and came separately, just as the different blessings imparted upon the brothers begot their own rewards instead of simply coalescing into a great single circumstance. Uniqueness was the key.

Though seemingly simple in its phrasing at first glance, much can be extracted from a gaze at the passage which describes the פרעה interacting with פרעה interacting with פראסייי interpretation of the dreams. The way in which he describes יוסף to his master seems according to the opinion of רש"י to show the height of sullying the name of he who had assisted the man in his troubled circumstances, yet the שר המשקים goes on to describe the ways in which the events had been formulated. מב"ן goes on to further draw upon said dichotomy of meanings, exhibiting the final range of outcomes as being associated with the drawing upon events directly to showcase their meaning; in his own interpretation. רמב"ן highlights the importance of the uniqueness in the description, how the שר המשקים describes to the ways in which events had been interpreted separately and given to produce multiple interpretations instead of that of a single outcome. We can all take in mind the idea of understanding the uniqueness of circumstances within our modern lifetimes. Nothing ever originates in a single sense, and even that which seems to hold true monotony inevitably seems to exhibit signs of difference and gradients as time passes. Events inevitably culminate in separate and diverse outcomes, and the ranges of display which people experience form from diverging circumstances. 901, starting his existence amidst that of his brothers, rises and falls through several circumstances and finds his niche amongst events. Just so, every single individual experiences their own changing circumstances and reaches different conclusions through their life experiences. Behind every sense of existence, there exists a uniqueness. Life is unique, and as we go through it, we should take note of the beauty of every changing circumstance in life.

Editors: Binyamin Orkaby and Ephraim Fischer

Faculty Advisor: Rabbi Jaffe



Write a Dvar Torah for א ביסל תורה



Sign Up to Get א ביסל תורה by Email